

DETAILED INTERFERENCE ANALYSIS REPORT

Transmit/Receive Earth Station

Prepared For Harris (FAA) Hooper Bay, Alaska (HPB)

(C-band)
Transmit/Receive Earth Station

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- I. Introduction
- II. Contents of Report
- III. Summary of Results
- IV. Conclusions and Recommendations
- V. Operational Parameters and Satellite Data
- VI. Great Circle Interference Case Listing

List of Illustrations Transmit/Receive Earth Station

Figure 5.1-1 Proposed Earth Station Location

<u>Tables</u>

- Table 3.1-1 Interference Case Summary (6 GHz)
- Table 5.1-1 Earth Station Parameters
- Table 5.2-1 Earth Station Azimuth Elevation Table
- Table 6.1-1 Potential Interference Cases 6 GHz Transmit Band

INTRODUCTION

Transmit/Receive Earth Station

This report presents the results of a detailed interference analysis for a proposed C-band transmit/receive earth station location. The site was selected by Harris and is located in Hooper Bay, Alaska (HPB).

The analysis was performed for a $4.5~\rm meter$ antenna. The long term interference objective at $4~\rm GHz$, was $-156~\rm dBW/1~\rm MHz$, while the $6~\rm GHz$ long term interference objective was $-154~\rm dBW/4~\rm kHz$, as specified by the FCC.

The earth station was analyzed for transmission and reception of digital traffic to and from a satellite arc range of 115 to 150 degrees West Longitude. This report assumes that the satellite transponders are numbered 1 through 24, with each transponder having a 36 MHz bandwidth.

This detailed interference analysis is meant to provide an estimate of potential interference at this location, and to recommend a course of future action.

REPORT CONTENTS AND PROCEDURES

Transmit/Receive Earth Station

This section describes the contents of the report for a proposed C-band transmit/receive earth station.

Section 1 describes the site location, the antenna considered, and the system parameters considered in the detailed interference analysis. The analysis was undertaken to determine the potential for microwave interference for the transmit/receive earth station at the site specified.

Initially, a computer analysis of this site was performed to determine the extent of potential interference on a line-of-sight (LOS) basis. This analysis considers the microwave environment with respect to the earth station and calculates predicted signal levels between these systems. Paths which exceed a given objective level are listed for further analysis. The objective levels present the maximum interference levels allowed between the earth station and the surrounding terrestrial microwave environment for the frequency band of interest.

To further analyze the effect of the predicted interference conflicts, terrain path profiles were prepared for the critical cases. This involves plotting the interference path on topographic maps, typically 7.5 minute series U.S.G.S. maps, to determine the terrain characteristics of the path. Once this has been accomplished, predicted over-the-horizon (O-H) losses are calculated using the techniques of the National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 101 (Revised).

These calculations give the amount of signal attenuation achieved due to terrain blockage.

Section 3 summarizes the results of the site analysis. This summary includes the number of cases that were considered, the interference cases that remain, and the proposed resolution of the interference problems.

Tables 3.1-1 and 3.2-1 list the Great Circle interference cases and the predicted O-H losses calculated on the various 6 and 4 GHz paths, respectively. If multiple analyses are considered, such as changes in satellite arc or antenna, the results are presented in Tables 3.1-1.1, 3.1-1.2, 3.2-1.1 3.2-1.2, etc....

A brief explanation of the various columns shown in Tables 3.1-1 and 3.2-1 follows:

<u>PATH</u> <u>ID:</u> This is the predicted interference path. The first site listed is the transmitter at 4 GHz or the receiver at 6 GHz.

BAND: This shows the frequency plan of the interfering paths. The 4 GHz paths affect reception of the downlink, while the 6 GHz terrestrial facilities are affected by the uplink. Receivers in the low half of the band (5925 - 6175 MHz), are indicated by 6L.

Receivers in the high half of the band (6175 - 6425 MHz), are indicated by 6H.

<u>DIST:</u> This is the distance from the earth station to the terrestrial station in kilometers.

<u>AZ:</u> This is the azimuth bearing in degrees (taken from True North), from the earth station toward the terrestrial station.

<u>ES DISC:</u> This is the earth station discrimination angle in degrees, towards the involved terrestrial facility.

<u>ES GAIN:</u> This is the gain of the earth station in dBi, at the calculated earth station discrimination angle.

<u>LOS LOSS REQ'D:</u> This is the amount of loss required in dB, on a line-of-sight basis, to meet the interference objective.

 $\underline{\text{O-H}}$ LOSS: This is the calculated over-the-horizon (O-H) losses in dB, between the earth station and the involved terrestrial station. The 20 percent column represents losses for the long term objective. The 0.0025 and .01 percent columns present the losses for the short term objective at 6 GHz and 4 GHz, respectively.

<u>REVISED MARGIN:</u> This is the difference between the LOS margin and the predicted O-H losses achieved due to terrain blockage. Sufficient attenuation is calculated for the paths which show the word "CLEAR" in the revised margin. Cases showing a positive revised margin will require additional losses to meet the interference objective.

The information listed at the bottom of the table reflects the antennas, satellite arc, and interference objectives considered for the proposed site.

Section 4 presents conclusions and recommendations. It gives an overall description of the microwave environment and suggests a future course of action.

Table 5.1-1 contains the operational parameters for the proposed earth station. Tables 5.1-2 provides azimuth and elevation data for the geostationary arc, and identifies the locations of particular satellites within that arc.

Figure 5.1-1 indicates the location of the site analyzed. This location should be verified. If it is not the desired site, Comsearch should be notified immediately so that the precise location can be analyzed.

Section 6 presents the Great Circle interference cases. This provides a listing of the utilized channels and type of traffic loading for each path.

SUMMARY AND RESULTS

The detailed interference analysis for the proposed earth station site to be located in Hooper Bay (HPB), Alaska revealed that two potential interference conflicts exist.

Transmit Band 5925 - 6425 MHz

A summary of these cases follows:

<u>Path</u>	Distance (Km)	Azimuth (Degrees)	Line-of-Sight Margin (dB)	Revised Margin 20% (dB)
Chevak - Hooper Bay	29.6	87.6	13.6	13.6
Hooper Bay - Chevak	1.8	54.9	2.7	2.7

Additional blockage will need to be identified in the direction of the remaining 6 GHz cases, or frequency offsets will need to be implemented to avoid conflict with the receive frequencies of these facilities.

Table 6.1-1 provides a summary of the remaining interference conflicts to the 6 GHz transmit band and their coordinated receive frequencies.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

4.1 <u>Conclusions</u>

Based on the results of the detailed interference analysis, two potential interference conflicts exist to the 6 GHz transmit band that could not be cleared with terrain path profiles.

Both of these cases are with a terrestrial path operated by United2, LLC, the receivers are at Chevak (13.6 dB margin) and Hooper Bay (2.7 dB margin). The predicted margins are based on an earth station maximum EIRP per carrier of 33.0 dBW/4 kHz. A reduction in the maximum EIRP per carrier to 30.0 dBW/4 kHz would resolve the case into the United2, LLC Hooper Bay receiver and just leave the case in Chevak that operates on receive frequencies of 6404.79 and 6256.54 MHz.

The available spectrum if limited around the Chevak receive frequencies would be 5925 - 6240, 6273 - 6388, 6421 - 6425 MHz.

4.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that Harris/FAA review the operating parameters of the proposed earth station antenna and determine whether the above referenced transmit band restrictions are acceptable. In the event that they are not, it is recommended that on-site RFI measurements and path surveys be completed in an attempt to resolve the cases identified in this report.

Table 5.1-1

SATELLITE EARTH STATION FREQUENCY COORDINATION DATA 11/21/2015

Latitude (Longitude (Ground Elev	ion Name, State (DMS) (NAD83) (DMS) (NAD83) vation AMSL (ft/ nterline AGL (ft	m)	Aviation		FEDFAZ HOOPER 61 31 166 8 26.2	A R BAY 11.2 13.7 25 /	N		
Receive Ant	enna Type:	FCC32			Scient 8345	tific	-Atlan	ıta	
4	1.0 GHz Gain (dB 3 dB / 15 dB H				43.3	3 /	4.5 1.25		
Transmit Ar	ntenna Type:	FCC32			Scient	tific	-Atlan	ıta	
6	5.0 GHz Gain (dB 3 dB / 15 dB H				46.3		4.5 0.81		
Operating Modulation	Mode				TRANS		ND REC	EIV	/E
	Receive Band (M	Hz)	191KG7D				.0000	-	4200.0000
Emission /	Transmit Band (MHz)	191KG7D	233KG	\$7D /	5925	.0000	-	6425.0000
Max. Availa	able RF Power (d (d	BW)/4 kH BW)/MHz)			-13 10	.30 .70			
Max. EIRP		BW)/4 kH BW)/MHz)				.00			
Max. Permis	ssible Interfere 4.0 GHz, 20% (d 4.0 GHz, 0.0100 6.0 GHz, 20% (d 6.0 GHz, 0.0025	BW/1 MHz % (dBW/1 BW/4 kHz) MHz))		-156 -146 -154 -131	.0			
Degrees Lor Azimuth Rar	atellite Arc (Ge ngitude nge (Min/Max) ng Elevation An		ary)		125	.3 /	150.0 161.8 19.0	W	
Radio Clima Rain Zone	ate					B 2			
Max. Great	Circle Coordina 4.0 GHz 6.0 GHz	tion Dis	tance (mi	./km)		.2 /			
Precipitati	ion Scatter Cont 4.0 GHz 6.0 GHz	our Radi	us (mi./kr	m)		.3 /			

Note: Horizon is less than 0.2 degrees at all azimuths

Table 5.2-1

Earth Station Azimuth and Elevation Table 11/16/2015

Earth Station Name HOOPER BAY, AK

Owner Federal Aviation Administration

Latitude (DMS) (NAD83) 61 31 11.2 N

Longitude (DMS) (NAD83) 166 8 13.7 W

Ground Elevation (ft/m) 26.25 / 8.00 Amsl

Antenna Centerline (ft/m) 9.00 / 2.74 Agl

Satellite Arc Range 115.0 W

150.0 W

Satellite Longitude	Azimuth (Degrees)	Elevation (Degrees)	Satellite Name
115.0	125.3	8.8	XM 4
116.0	126.3	9.2	
116.8	127.1	9.5	SATMEX 5
117.0	127.3	9.6	
118.0 119.0	128.2 129.2	10.0 10.4	
120.0	130.2	10.4	
121.0	131.2	11.1	GALAXY 23
121.0	131.2	11.1	ECHOSTAR 9
122.0	132.2	11.5	Echobine 9
123.0	133.2	11.9	GALAXY 10R
124.0	134.2	12.2	
125.0	135.2	12.6	GALAXY 14
126.0	136.2	12.9	
127.0	137.2	13.2	GALAXY 13
127.0	137.2	13.2	HORIZONS 1
128.0	138.2	13.6	
129.0	139.3	13.9	GALAXY 27
130.0	140.3	14.2	
131.0	141.3	14.5	AMC 11
132.0	142.4	14.8	
133.0	143.4	15.1	GALAXY 15
134.0	144.4	15.4	7340 10
135.0 136.0	145.5 146.6	15.7 16.0	AMC 10
137.0	147.6	16.3	AMC 7
138.0	147.0	16.5	AMC /
139.0	149.8	16.8	AMC 8
140.0	150.8	17.0	Tin-1C 0
141.0	151.9	17.3	
142.0	153.0	17.5	
143.0	154.1	17.7	
144.0	155.2	17.9	
145.0	156.3	18.1	
146.0	157.4	18.3	
147.0	158.5	18.5	
148.0	159.6	18.7	
149.0	160.7	18.9	
150.0	161.8	19.0	

Table 6.1-1

Great Circle Interference Conflicts 11/16/2015

Earth Station Name HOOPER BAY, AK

Federal Aviation Administration

Latitude (DMS) (NAD83) 61 31 11.2 N Longitude (DMS) (NAD83) 166 8 13.7 W

Ground Elevation (ft/m) 26.25 / 8.00 Amsl Antenna Centerline (ft/m) 9.00 / 2.74 Agl Antenna Model FCC REFERENCE 32-25LOG(THETA)
Objectives: Receive -156.0 (dBW /1 MHz)
Transmit -154.0 (dBW /4 kHz) Tx Power -13.3 (dBW/4 kHz)

Gnd Edisct Ges FsLoss Dist Pr Tpwr Plan Terrestrial Path Latitude Longitude Call Sign Acl Tdisct Gts Tant Az Margin LL Loading Owner

Freg/Pol

CHEVAK AKHOOPER BAY AK 15.20 38.6 -7.6 137.7 29.6-140.4 31.1HT 61 31 48 165 34 54 WQHH679 22.86 358.1 21.5 52610A 87.6 13.6 3.3 1 CHEVAK 2016CH DIG RCN: 08022718 UNIINC: United2, LLC

6404.7900V

Status: L Equipment: TEMC52 Emission: 30M0D7W

OH LOSS 20% / 0.0025%: 0.00 / 0.00

2 CHEVAK AKHOOPER BAY AK 15.20 38.6 -7.6 137.7 29.6-140.4 31.1HT 61 31 48 165 34 54 WQHH679 22.86 358.1 21.5 52610A 87.6 13.6 3.3 2016CH DIG RCN: 07060126 UNIINC: United2, LLC

6256.5400V

Status: L Equipment: TEMC52 Emission: 30M0D7W

OH LOSS 20% / 0.0025%: 0.00 / 0.00

3 HOOPER BAY AKCHEVAK AK 6.00 70.7 -10.0 113.2 1.8-151.3 31.1LT 61 31 44 166 6 36 WQHM636 13.72 145.4 -11.5 52610A 54.9 2.7 3.3 UNIINC: United2, LLC 2016CH DIG RCN: 08022718

6152.7500V

Equipment: TEMC52 Emission: 30M0D7W Status: L

OH LOSS 20% / 0.0025%: 0.00 / 0.00

4 HOOPER BAY AKCHEVAK AK 6.00 70.7 -10.0 113.2 1.8-151.3 31.1LT 61 31 44 166 6 36 WQHM636 13.72 145.4 -11.5 52610A 54.9 2.7 3.3 2016CH DIG RCN: 07060126 UNIINC: United2, LLC

6004.5000V

Status: L Equipment: TEMC52 Emission: 30M0D7W

OH LOSS 20% / 0.0025%: 0.00 / 0.00

Figure 5.1-1



Table 3.1-1
Interference Case Summary
Hooper Bay, Alaska

						ES	ES	LOS Loss	OH Lo	SS	Revise	d Margin
Case	<u> </u>		Band	Distan	ce Azimuth	Disc	Gain	Required	20%	0.01%	20%	0.01%
#		Path ID	(GHz)	(km)	(°)	(°)	(dBi)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)	(dB)
1	CHEVAK	HOOPER BAY	6.1	29.6	87.6	38.6	-7.6	13.6	0.0	0.0	13.6	CLEAR
2	CHEVAK	HOOPER BAY	6.1	29.6	87.6	38.6	-7.6	13.6	0.0	0.0	13.6	CLEAR
3	HOOPER BAY	CHEVAK	6.1	1.8	54.9	70.7	-10.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	CLEAR
4	HOOPER BAY	CHEVAK	6.1	1.8	54.9	70.7	-10.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	2.7	CLEAR

Antenna Type: 4.5 Meter
Uplink Power: -13.3 dBW/4 kHz
Satellite Arc: 115.0 W to 150.0 W

Objectives: Long Term: -154.0 dBW/4 kHz Short Term: -131.0 dBW/4 kHz